

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/734,949	BARTON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) CUONG H. NGUYEN.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. David H. Tannenbaum (Reg. No. 24,745).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 October 2005

Time: 11:30 a.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

USPTO receives no response for an Office Action mailed on 3/25/2005; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status.

Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Cuong H. Nguyen
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)